

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/642,367	08/15/2003	Richard H. Schlosberg	2001B052A/2	2531
23455 EXXONMOB	7590 12/22/2006 IL CHEMICAL COMPA	EXAMINER		
5200 BAYWA		STOCKTON, LAURA LYNNE		
P.O. BOX 2149 BAYTOWN, TX 77522-2149			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1626	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/22/2006	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/642,367	SCHLOSBERG ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Laura L. Stockton, Ph.D.	1626		

	Laura L. Stockton, Ph.D.	162	o i	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet wit	h the corres	spondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 04 December 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS	APPLICATION IN CONDIT	TION FOR A	LLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	ving replies: (1) an amendmetice of Appeal (with appeal few with 37 CFR 1.114. The re	ent, affidavit ee) in compl	, or other eviden liance with 37 Cl	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
 a) The period for reply expires months from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to 	dvisory Action, or (2) the date s ater than SIX MONTHS from the	e mailing date	of the final rejecti	on.
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 70	6). ONLY CHECK BOX (6) WH 06.07(f).	IEN THE FIRS	T REPLY WAS F	ILED WITHIN
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 (lension and the corresponding a chortened statutory period for re than three months after the ma	amount of the ply originally	fee. The appropri set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37	7(e)), to avoi	d dismissal of th	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing	a hrief will r	not he entered h	ecalise
(a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further col (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo	nsideration and/or search (s			ecause
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or		rially reducin	g or simplifying	the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	_	ally rejected	claims.	
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1	, ,,			(DTO) 00 ()
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.13		Non-Complia	int Amendment	(PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)			C1 4 1	
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al non-allowable claim(s). 				
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		∖	entered and an e	explanation of
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: <u>58</u> .				
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1,2,4-15,17-26,28-39,41-46 and 49-57</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>47 and 48</u> .				
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE				
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	t before or on the date of fili d sufficient reasons why the	ing a Notice affidavit or o	of Appeal will <u>no</u> other evidence is	ot be entered s necessary and
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome all rejections unde	r appeal and	d/or appellant fai	ils to provide a
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims	after entry is	s below or attach	ned.
 The request for reconsideration has been considered bu for reasons of record in the Office Action dated October 	<u>3, 2006.</u>	cation in con	dition for allowar	nce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)	— <i>(</i>) ~	
13. Other:			ura L. Stockton,	Stackton Ph.D.
		Prii	mary Examiner	

Art Unit: 1626

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)

Continuation of 3. NOTE: See Applicant's proposed changes to the claims.

Continuation of 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): the nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejections. The status of claim 58 has changed. Claim 58 was only rejected under nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting and therefore, with the filing of two proper terminal disclaimers, claim 58 is now objected to for depending on a rejected base claim.